	Case 2:20-cv-00746-KJM-JDP Docum	nent 51 Filed 09/15/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RUBEN EDWARD MORA,	Case No. 2:20-cv-00746-KJM-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
13	v.	MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL  ECF No. 46
14	H. WILLIAMS, et al.,	ECF NO. 40
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff has moved for the appointment of counsel. ECF No. 46. Plaintiff does not have	
19	a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, see Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520,	
20	1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court lacks the authority to require an attorney to represent plaintiff.	
21	See Mallard v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the S. Dist. of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). The court can	
22	request the voluntary assistance of counsel. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) ("The court may request	
23	an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel"); Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. But	
24	without a means to compensate counsel, the court will seek volunteer counsel only in exceptional	
25	circumstances. In determining whether such circumstances exist, "the district court must evaluate	
26	both the likelihood of success on the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his	
27	claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525	
28	(internal quotation marks and citations omitted).	

## Having considered these factors, I cannot conclude that exceptional circumstances requiring the appointment of counsel are present here. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel, ECF No. 46, is denied without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 14, 2022 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:20-cv-00746-KJM-JDP Document 51 Filed 09/15/22 Page 2 of 2